

**REMARKS**

These remarks and the accompanying amendments are responsive to the Office Action dated January 8, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as the "Office Action"). At the time of the last examination, Claims 1-26 were pending. By this amendment, Claims 15-26 are cancelled, and no claims are added. Therefore, upon entry of this amendment, Claims 1-14 will be pending for further consideration by the Examiner. Of these remaining claims, only Claims 1, 3, 10 and 14 are independent, all of which are amended herein. Some dependent claims are also amended for consistency and to make grammatical corrections. The only remaining rejection against Claims 1-14 is a 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection as being anticipated by Richardson (GB 2271486A) (hereinafter, "Richardson").

In the cell control system of the present application, even if the mobile station does not transmit or receive signals to or from surrounding base stations of the first radio communication system, the mobile station can transmit the result of the determination of the determination means to the cell forming process apparatus via the second radio communication system. Then, the cell forming process apparatus selects a base station for which a corresponding cell of the first radio communication system is to be changed according to the result of the determination and instructs the selected base station to change the cell thereof. Thus, the mobile station can communication with the selected base station of the first radio communication system.

Richardson is based on the premise that the mobile station can communication with both the radio communication systems. The mobile station determines only which cell the mobile station belongs to based on signals received from both the radio communication systems. Therefore, the cell of the first and second radio communication systems cannot be changed.

Thus, the recited claims are not anticipated by, nor rendered unpatentable over, Richardson. Therefore, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection is respectfully requested.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

/ADRIAN J. LEE/

Adrian J. Lee  
Registration No. 42,785  
Attorney for Applicants  
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds  
DS0000007361V001